arXiv:1510.01538v2 [math.FA] 2 Aug 2016

Some Fundamental Theorems of Functional Analysis with Bicomplex and Hyperbolic Scalars

Heera Saini and Romesh Kumar

Abstract. We discuss some properties of linear functionals on topological hyperbolic and topological bicomplex modules. The hyperbolic and bicomplex analogues of the uniform boundedness principle, the open mapping theorem, the closed graph theorem and the Hahn Banach separation theorem are proved.

Keywords. Bicomplex modules, hyperbolic modules, topological bicomplex modules, topological hyperbolic modules, hyperbolic convexity, hyperbolic-valued Minkowski functionals, continuous linear functionals, Baire category theorem, uniform boundedness principle, open mapping theorem, inverse mapping theorem, closed graph theorem, Hahn Banach separation theorem.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

In this paper, we prove several core results of bicomplex functional analysis. The four basic principles, the Hahn Banach separation theorem for topological hyperbolic and topological bicomplex modules, the open mapping theorem, the closed graph theorem and the uniform boundedness principle for F-hyperbolic and F-bicomplex modules with hyperbolic-valued norm have been presented. Hahn Banach theorem is one of the most fundamental results in functional analysis. The theorem for real vector spaces was first proved by H. Hahn [13], rediscovered in its present, more general form by S. Banach [2]. Further, it was generalized to complex vector spaces by H. F. Bohnenblust and A. Sobczyk [4], and Soukhomlinoff [31] who also considered the case of vector spaces with quaternionic scalars. The Hahn-Banach theorem for bicomplex functional analysis with real-valued norm was proved in [14]. Recently, Hahn Banach theorem for bicomplex functional analysis with hyperbolic-valued norm was proved in [19], which is in analytic form, involving the existence of extensions of a linear functionals. The uniform boundedness principle was proved by Banach and Steinhaus [3]. Some related results to this principle were already proved by Lebesgue [18], Hahn [12], Steinhaus [32], and Saks and Tamarkin [29]. The open mapping theorem was first proved in 1929 by Banach [2]. Later, in 1930, a different proof was given by Schauder [30]. There is a

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30G35, 46A22, 46A30.

vast literature on generalizations of Hahn Banach theorem, the open mapping theorem and the uniform boundedness principle in different directions.

The work in this paper is organized in the following manner. In Section 2, we give a brief discussion on topological hyperbolic modules. Section 3 discusses some properties of linear functionals on topological hyperbolic and topological bicomplex modules. In Section 4, we first prove the Baire category theorem for $\mathbb{D}$-metric spaces, which is then used to prove the uniform boundedness principle for F-hyperbolic and F-bicomplex modules. The open mapping theorem, the inverse mapping theorem and the closed graph theorem for F-hyperbolic and F-bicomplex modules have been proved in Section 5. In Sections 6 and 7, we present a geometric form of Hahn Banach theorem for topological hyperbolic and topological bicomplex modules and call it hyperbolic Hahn Banach separation theorem and bicomplex Hahn Banach separation theorem respectively. Section 8 deals with another geometric form of Hahn Banach theorem for hyperbolic modules in terms of hyperbolic hyperplanes.

Now, we summarize some basic properties of bicomplex numbers. The set $\mathbb{BC}$ of bicomplex numbers is defined as

$$\mathbb{BC} = \{Z = w_1 + jw_2 \mid w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{C}(i)\},$$

where i and j are imaginary units such that $ij = ji, i^2 = j^2 = -1$ and $\mathbb{C}(i)$ is the set of complex numbers with the imaginary unit i. The set $\mathbb{BC}$ of bicomplex numbers forms a ring under the usual addition and multiplication of bicomplex numbers. Moreover, $\mathbb{BC}$ is a module over itself. The product of imaginary units i and j defines a hyperbolic unit k such that $k^2 = 1$. The product of all units is commutative and satisfies

$$ij = k, \quad ik = -j \quad \text{and} \quad jk = -i.$$

The set $\mathbb{D}$ of hyperbolic numbers is defined as

$$\mathbb{D} = \{\alpha = \beta_1 + k\beta_2 : \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

The set $\mathbb{D}$ is a ring and a module over itself. Since the set $\mathbb{BC}$ has two imaginary units i and j, two conjugations can be defined for bicomplex numbers and composing these two conjugations, we obtain a third one. We define these conjugations as follows:

(i) $Z^{\dagger_1} = \overline{w_1} + j\overline{w_2}$,

(ii) $Z^{\dagger_2} = w_1 - jw_2$,

(iii) $Z^{\dagger_3} = \overline{w_1} - j\overline{w_2}$,

where $\overline{w_1}, \overline{w_2}$ are respectively the usual complex conjugates of $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{C}(i)$. For bicomplex numbers we have the following three moduli:

(i) $|Z|_i^2 = Z \,.\, Z^{\dagger_2} = {w_1}^2 + {w_2}^2 \in \mathbb{C}(i)$,

(ii) $|Z|_j^2 = Z \,.\, Z^{\dagger_1} = (|w_1|^2 - |w_2|^2) + j(\, 2\,\mathrm{Re}(w_1\,\overline{w_2})) \in \mathbb{C}(j)$,

(iii) $|Z|_k^2 = Z \,.\, Z^{\dagger_3} = (|w_1|^2 + |w_2|^2) + k(\, -2\,\mathrm{Im}(w_1\,\overline{w_2})) \in \mathbb{D}$.

A bicomplex number $Z = w_1 + jw_2$ is said to be invertible if and only if

$$Z \,.\, Z^{\dagger_2} = {w_1}^2 + {w_2}^2 \neq 0$$

and its inverse is given by

$$Z^{-1} = \frac{Z^{\dagger_2}}{|Z|_i^2}.$$

If $Z = w_1 + jw_2 \neq 0$ is such that $Z \,.\, Z^{\dagger_2} = {w_1}^2 + {w_2}^2 = 0$, then Z is a zero divisor. The set of zero divisors $\mathcal{NC}$ of $\mathbb{BC}$ is, thus, given by

$$\mathcal{NC} = \left\{ Z \mid Z \neq 0,\ {w_1}^2 + {w_2}^2 = 0 \right\},$$

and is called the null cone. The hyperbolic numbers e_1 and e_2 defined as

$$e_1 = \frac{1+k}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad e_2 = \frac{1-k}{2}\,,$$

are zero divisors, which are linearly independent in the $\mathbb{C}$(i)- vector space $\mathbb{BC}$ and satisfy the following properties:

$${e_1}^2 = e_1, \quad {e_2}^2 = e_2, \quad {e_1}^{\dagger_3} = e_1, \quad {e_2}^{\dagger_3} = e_2, \quad e_1 + e_2 = 1 \quad e_1 \cdot e_2 = 0\,.$$

Any bicomplex number $Z = w_1 + jw_2$ can be uniquely written as

$$Z = e_1 z_1 + e_2 z_2\,, \tag{1.1}$$

where $z_1 = w_1 - iw_2$ and $z_2 = w_1 + iw_2$ are elements of $\mathbb{C}(i)$. Formula (1.1) is called the idempotent representation of a bicomplex number Z. The sets $e_1\mathbb{BC}$ and $e_2\mathbb{BC}$ are ideals in the ring $\mathbb{BC}$ such that

$$e_1\mathbb{BC} \,\cap\, e_2\mathbb{BC} = \{0\}$$

and

$$\mathbb{BC} = e_1\mathbb{BC} + e_2\mathbb{BC}\,. \tag{1.2}$$

Formula (1.2) is called the idempotent decomposition of $\mathbb{BC}$.
The hyperbolic-valued or $\mathbb{D}$-valued norm $|Z|_k$ of a bicomplex number $Z = e_1 z_1 + e_2 z_2$ is defined as

$$|Z|_k = e_1|z_1| + e_2|z_2|.$$

A hyperbolic number $\alpha = \beta_1 + k\beta_2$ in idempotent representation can be written as

$$\alpha = e_1\alpha_1 + e_2\alpha_2,$$

where $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 + \beta_2$ and $\alpha_2 = \beta_1 - \beta_2$ are real numbers. We say that α is a positive hyperbolic number if $\alpha_1 \geq 0$ and $\alpha_2 \geq 0$. Thus, the set of positive hyperbolic numbers $\mathbb{D}^+$ is given by

$$\mathbb{D}^+ = \{\alpha = e_1\alpha_1 + e_2\alpha_2 : \quad \alpha_1 \geq 0, \alpha_2 \geq 0\}.$$

For $\alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{D}$, define a relation $\leq'$ on $\mathbb{D}$ by $\alpha \leq' \gamma$ whenever $\gamma - \alpha \in \mathbb{D}^+$. This relation is reflexive, anti-symmetric as well as transitive and hence defines a partial order on $\mathbb{D}$. If we write the hyperbolic numbers α and γ in idempotent representation as $\alpha = e_1\alpha_1 + e_2\alpha_2$ and $\gamma = e_1\gamma_1 + e_2\gamma_2$, then $\alpha \leq' \gamma$ implies that $\alpha_1 \leq \gamma_1$ and $\alpha_2 \leq \gamma_2$. By $\alpha <' \gamma$, we mean $\alpha_1 < \gamma_1$ and $\alpha_2 < \gamma_2$. For further details on partial ordering on $\mathbb{D}$ one can refer [1, Section 1.5].
If $A \subset \mathbb{D}$ is $\mathbb{D}$-bounded from above, then the $\mathbb{D}$-supremum of A is defined as

$$\sup_{\mathbb{D}} A = \sup A_1 e_1 + \sup A_2 e_2,$$

where $A_1 = \{a_1 \ : \ a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 \in A\}$ and $A_2 = \{a_2 \ : \ a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 \in A\}$. Similarly, $\mathbb{D}$-infimum of a $\mathbb{D}$-bounded below set A is defined as

$$\inf_{\mathbb{D}} A = \inf A_1 e_1 + \inf A_2 e_2,$$

where A_1 and A_2 are as defined above.
A $\mathbb{BC}$-module (or $\mathbb{D}$-module) X can be written as

$$X = e_1X_1 + e_2X_2 \ , \tag{1.3}$$

where $X_1 = e_1X$ and $X_2 = e_2X$ are $\mathbb{C}(i)$-vector (or $\mathbb{R}$-vector) spaces. Formula (1.3) is called the idempotent decomposition of X. Thus, any x in X can be uniquely written as $x = e_1x_1 + e_2x_2$ with $x_1 \in X_1, \ x_2 \in X_2$.
For further details on bicomplex analysis, we refer the reader to [1], [5], [6], [8], [10], [11],[19], [20], [21], [22], [23], [24], [26], [27] and references therein.

2 Topological Hyperbolic Modules

Topological bicomplex modules have been defined and discussed thoroughly in [15]. In this section, we introduce the concepts of topological hyperbolic modules and absorbedness in hyperbolic modules. Hyperbolic convexity and hyperbolic-valued Minkowski functionals in hyperbolic modules have already been defined in [19]. The proofs of all the theorems in this section are on similar lines as of their bicomplex counterparts, (see, [15, Section 2]), so we omit them.

Definition 2.1. Let X be a $\mathbb{D}$-module and τ be a Hausdorff topology on X such that the operations

(i) $+ \ : X \times X \longrightarrow X$ and

(ii) $\cdot \ : \mathbb{D} \times X \longrightarrow X$

are continuous. Then the pair (X, τ) is called a topological hyperbolic module or topological $\mathbb{D}$-module.

Let (X, τ) be a topological $\mathbb{D}$-module. Write

$$X = e_1X_1 + e_2X_2,$$

where $X_1 = e_1X$ and $X_2 = e_2X$ are $\mathbb{R}$-vector spaces. Then $\tau_l = \{e_lG \ : \ G \in \tau\}$ is a Hausdorff topology on X_l for $l = 1, 2$. Moreover, the operations

(i) $+ \ : X_l \times X_l \longrightarrow X_l$ and

(ii) $\cdot \ : \mathbb{R} \times X_l \longrightarrow X_l$

are continuous for $l = 1, 2$. Therefore, (X_l, τ_l) is a topological $\mathbb{R}$-vector space for $l = 1, 2$.

Definition 2.2. [19, Definition 17] Let B be a subset of a $\mathbb{D}$-module X. Then B is called a $\mathbb{D}$-convex set if $x, y \in X$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}^+$ satisfying $0 \leq' \lambda \leq' 1$ implies that $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in B$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let B be a $\mathbb{D}$-convex subset of $\mathbb{D}$-module X. Then B can be written as*

$$B \ = \ e_1B + e_2B.$$

Definition 2.4. Let B be a subset of a $\mathbb{D}$-module X. Then B is called a $\mathbb{D}$-absorbing set if for each $x \in X$, there exists $\epsilon >' 0$ such that $\lambda x \in B$ whenever $0 \ \leq' \ \lambda \ \leq' \ \epsilon$.

Theorem 2.5. *Let (X, τ) be a topological $\mathbb{D}$-module. Then each neighbourhood of 0 in X is $\mathbb{D}$-absorbing.*

Definition 2.6. Let B be a $\mathbb{D}$-convex, $\mathbb{D}$-absorbing subset of a $\mathbb{D}$-module X. Then, the mapping $q_B \ : \ X \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}^+$ defined by

$$q_B(x) \ = \ \inf_{\mathbb{D}}\{\alpha >' 0 \ : \ x \in \alpha B\}, \quad \text{for each } x \in X,$$

is called hyperbolic-valued gauge or hyperbolic-valued Minkowski functional of B.

For each $x \in X$, we can write $q_B(x) = q_{e_1B}(e_1x)e_1 + q_{e_2B}(e_2x)e_2$, where q_{e_1B} and q_{e_2B} are real valued Minkowski functionals on e_1X and e_2X respectively. For further details on hyperbolic-valued Minkowski functionals one can see [15] and [19].

3 Bicomplex and Hyperbolic Linear Functionals

In this section we give some properties of linear functionals on topological $\mathbb{BC}$ and topological $\mathbb{D}$-modules.

Suppose X is a $\mathbb{BC}$-module. Then X is also a module over the ring of hyperbolic numbers, i.e., X is also a $\mathbb{D}$-module. An additive functional f on X is said to be $\mathbb{D}$-linear if $f(\alpha x) = \alpha f(x)$ for each $x \in X$ and each $\alpha \in \mathbb{D}$; and $\mathbb{BC}$-linear if $f(\alpha x) = \alpha f(x)$ for each $x \in X$ and each $\alpha \in \mathbb{BC}$.

Let X be a topological $\mathbb{BC}$-module (or $\mathbb{D}$-module) and f be a $\mathbb{BC}$-functional (or $\mathbb{D}$-functional) on X. Then f is said to be continuous at $x \in X$ if for each $\epsilon >' 0$, there exists a neighbourhood $V \subset X$ of x such that $|f(y) - f(x)|_k <' \epsilon$ for each $y \in V$. f is said to be

continuous on X if f is continuous at each $x \in X$.

Let X be a $\mathbb{BC}$-module (or $\mathbb{D}$-module) and f be a $\mathbb{BC}$-linear (or $\mathbb{D}$-linear) functional on X. Then there exist $\mathbb{C}(i)$-linear (or $\mathbb{R}$-linear) functional f_1 and f_2 on X such that

$$f(x) = e_1 f_1(x) + e_2 f_2(x), \quad \text{for each } x \in X.$$

Since f is $\mathbb{BC}$-linear (or $\mathbb{D}$-linear), for each $x \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= f(e_1 x + e_2 x) \\ &= e_1 f(e_1 x) + e_2 f(e_2 x) \\ &= e_1 f_1(e_1 x) + e_2 f_2(e_2 x). \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$f(x) = e_1 f_1(e_1 x) + e_2 f_2(e_2 x), \tag{3.1}$$

for each $x \in X$. This leads to the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a topological $\mathbb{BC}$-module (or $\mathbb{D}$-module) and f be a $\mathbb{BC}$-linear (or $\mathbb{D}$-linear) functional on X. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) f is continuous if and only if $f_{1_{|X_1}}$ and $f_{2_{|X_2}}$ are continuous.

(ii) f is $\mathbb{D}$-bounded in some neighbourhood of 0 if and only if $f_{1_{|X_1}}$ and $f_{2_{|X_2}}$ are bounded in some neighbourhoods of 0.

By a $\mathbb{D}$-bounded function f in a neighbourhood V, we mean that there exists a hyperbolic number $M >' 0$ such that $|f(x)|_k <' M$, for each $x \in V$. The next theorem follows from [28, Theorem 1.17, Theorem 1.18] and Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.2. *Let X be a topological $\mathbb{BC}$-module (or $\mathbb{D}$-module) and f be a $\mathbb{BC}$-linear (or $\mathbb{D}$-linear) functional on X. Then the following statements hold:*

(i) f is continuous at 0.

(ii) f is continuous.

(iii) f is $\mathbb{D}$-bounded in some neighbourhood of 0.

Theorem 3.3. *Let X be a topological $\mathbb{BC}$-module (or $\mathbb{D}$-module) and*

$$f = e_1 f_1 + e_2 f_2 \tag{3.2}$$

be a $\mathbb{BC}$-linear (or $\mathbb{D}$-linear) functional on X, where f_1 and f_2 are non-constant $\mathbb{C}(i)$-linear (or $\mathbb{R}$-linear) functionals on X and A be a $\mathbb{BC}$-convex (or $\mathbb{D}$-convex) subset X. Then the following statements hold:

(i) $f(A)$ is $\mathbb{BC}$-convex (or $\mathbb{D}$-convex).

(ii) If A is open, then so is $f(A)$.

Proof. (i) Let $x, y \in f(A)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $0 \leq' \lambda \leq' 1$. Then there exist $x', y' \in A$ such that $x = f(x')$ and $y = f(y')$. Since A is $\mathbb{D}$-convex, $\lambda x' + (1-\lambda)y' \in A$. By $\mathbb{D}$-linearity of f, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y &= \lambda f(x') + (1-\lambda)f(y') \\ &= f(\lambda x') + f((1-\lambda)y') \\ &= f(\lambda x' + (1-\lambda)y') \\ &\in f(A). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) By (i), $f(A)$ is $\mathbb{BC}$-convex (or $\mathbb{D}$-convex), so we can write $f(A) = e_1 f(A) + e_2 f(A)$. Now, by $\mathbb{BC}$-linearity (or $\mathbb{D}$-linearity) of f, and Equation (3.2), we get $e_1 f(A) = e_1 f(e_1 A) = e_1 f_1(e_1 A)$ and $e_2 f(A) = e_2 f(e_2 A) = e_2 f_2(e_2 A)$. Therefore, we have $ef(A) = e_1 f_1(e_1 A) + e_2 f_2(e_2 A)$. Since $e_1 A$ and $e_2 A$ are open sets in $e_1 X$ and $e_2 X$ respectively and any non-constant $\mathbb{C}(i)$-linear (or $\mathbb{R}$-linear) functional on a topological $\mathbb{C}(i)$-vector (or $\mathbb{R}$-vector) space is an open mapping, it follows that $f_1(e_1 A)$ and $f_2(e_2 A)$ are open sets in $\mathbb{C}(i)$ (or $\mathbb{R}$). Therefore, $f(A) = e_1 f_1(e_1 A) + e_2 f_2(e_2 A)$ is an open set in $\mathbb{BC}$ (or $\mathbb{D}$). □

4 Uniform Boundedness Principle

In this section, we study the Baire category theorem for $\mathbb{D}$-metric spaces and the principle of uniform boundedness for F- bicomplex and F-hyperbolic modules.

Definition 4.1. [15, Definition 5.6] Let $d : X \times X \to \mathbb{D}$ be a function such that for any $x, y, z \in X$, the following properties hold:

(i) $d_{\mathbb{D}}(x, y) \geq' 0$ and $d_{\mathbb{D}}(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$,

(ii) $d_{\mathbb{D}}(x, y) = d_{\mathbb{D}}(y, x)$,

(iii) $d_{\mathbb{D}}(x, z) \leq' d_{\mathbb{D}}(x, y) + d_{\mathbb{D}}(y, z)$.

Then d is called a hyperbolic-valued (or $\mathbb{D}$-valued) metric on X and the pair $(X, d_{\mathbb{D}})$ is called a hyperbolic metric (or $\mathbb{D}$-metric) space.

Definition 4.2. Let $(X, d_{\mathbb{D}})$ be a $\mathbb{D}$-mertic space, $x \in X$ and $r >' 0$ be a hyperbolic number. Then an open ball in X with center x and radius r is denoted by $B(x, r)$ and is defined as

$$B(x, r) = \{y \in X \ : \ d_{\mathbb{D}}(x, y) <' r\}.$$

Definition 4.3. Let $(X, d_{\mathbb{D}})$ be a $\mathbb{D}$-mertic space and $M \subset X$. Then a point $x \in M$ is called an interior point of M if there exists a $r >' 0$ such that $B(x, r) \subset M$.

Definition 4.4. Let $(X, d_{\mathbb{D}})$ be a $\mathbb{D}$-mertic space and $M \subset X$. Then a point $x \in M$ is called a limit point of M if for every $r >' 0$, the open ball $B(x, r)$ contains a point of M other than x.

Definition 4.5. Let $(X, d_{\mathbb{D}})$ be a $\mathbb{D}$-mertic space and $M \subset X$. Then M is said to be open in X if every point of M is an interior point.

Definition 4.6. Let $(X, d_{\mathbb{D}})$ be a $\mathbb{D}$-mertic space and $M \subset X$. Then M is said to be closed in X if it contains all its limit points.

Definition 4.7. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a $\mathbb{D}$-mertic space $(X, d_{\mathbb{D}})$ is said to converge to a point $x \in X$ if for every $\epsilon >' 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$d_{\mathbb{D}}(x_n, x) <' \epsilon \quad \text{for every} \quad n \geq N.$$

Definition 4.8. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a $\mathbb{D}$-mertic space $(X, d_{\mathbb{D}})$ is said to be a Cauchy sequence if for every $\epsilon >' 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$d_{\mathbb{D}}(x_n, x_m) <' \epsilon \quad \text{for every} \quad n, m \geq N.$$

Definition 4.9. A $\mathbb{D}$-mertic space X is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in X converges in X.

The follwing theorem can be proved easily

Theorem 4.10. *A $\mathbb{D}$-mertic space is a topological space.*

The following theorem is the Baire category theorem for $\mathbb{D}$-metric spaces.

Theorem 4.11. *Let $(X, d_{\mathbb{D}})$ be a complete $\mathbb{D}$-metric space such that $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ where each F_n is a closed subset of X. Then atleast one of the F_n's contains a non-empty open set.*

Proof. Suppose each F_n does not contain a non-empty open set. Since $X \setminus F_1$ is a non-empty open set, we choose $x_1 \in X \setminus F_1$ and $0 <' \epsilon_1 <' 1/2$ such that $B_1 \cap F_1 = \phi$ where $B_1 = B(x_1, \epsilon_1)$. The open ball $B(x_1, \epsilon_1/2)$ is not contained in F_2, hence there is $x_2 \in B(x_1, \epsilon_1/2)$ and $0 <' \epsilon_2 <' 1/2^2$ such that $B_2 \cap F_2 = \phi$ and $B_2 \subset B(x_1, \epsilon_1/2)$, where $B_2 = B(x_2, \epsilon_2)$. By induction, we obtain the sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{\epsilon_n\}$ which satisfy the following:

(i) $B_{n+1} \subset B(x_n, \epsilon_n/2)$,

(ii) $0 <' \epsilon_n <' 1/2^n$ and

(iii) $B_n \cap F_n = \phi$.

For $n < m$,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathbb{D}}(x_n, x_m) &\leq' d_{\mathbb{D}}(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d_{\mathbb{D}}(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \ \ldots + d_{\mathbb{D}}(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\ &<' \epsilon_n/2 + \epsilon_{n+1}/2 + \ \ldots + \epsilon_{m-1}/2 \\ &<' 1/2^{n+1} + 1/2^{n+2} + \ \ldots + 1/2^m \\ &<' 1/2^n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X. Since X is complete, $\{x_n\}$ converges to a point x. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathbb{D}}(x_n, x) &\leq' d_{\mathbb{D}}(x_n, x_m) + d_{\mathbb{D}}(x_m, x) \\ &<' \epsilon_n/2 + d_{\mathbb{D}}(x_m, x) \\ &\to \epsilon_n/2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $x \in B_n$, for each n. Since $B_n \cap F_n = \phi$, we see that $x \notin F_n$, for each n. Therefore, $x \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$. This contradicts the fact that $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$. Thus, one of the F_n's contains a non-empty open set. □

Definition 4.12. An F-bicomplex module (or F-$\mathbb{BC}$ module) is a $\mathbb{BC}$-module X having a $\mathbb{D}$-valued metric $d_{\mathbb{D}}$ such that the following properties hold:

(i) $d_{\mathbb{D}}$ is translation invariant.

(ii) $\cdot : \mathbb{BC} \times X \longrightarrow X$ is continuous.

(iii) $(X, d_{\mathbb{D}})$ is complete $\mathbb{D}$-metric space.

Definition 4.13. An F-hyperbolic module (or F-$\mathbb{D}$ module) is a $\mathbb{D}$-module X having a $\mathbb{D}$-valued metric $d_{\mathbb{D}}$ such that the following properties hold:

(i) $d_{\mathbb{D}}$ is translation invariant.

(ii) $\cdot : \mathbb{D} \times X \longrightarrow X$ is continuous.

(iii) $(X, d_{\mathbb{D}})$ is complete $\mathbb{D}$-metric space.

For each $x \in X$, define $||x||_{\mathbb{D}} = d_{\mathbb{D}}(x, 0)$. We see that, if $d_{\mathbb{D}}$ is translation invariant $\mathbb{D}$-metric on X, then the properties $d_{\mathbb{D}}(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$, $d_{\mathbb{D}}(x, y) \leq' d_{\mathbb{D}}(x, z) + d_{\mathbb{D}}(z, y)$ and $d_{\mathbb{D}}(x, y) = d_{\mathbb{D}}(y, x)$ are equivalent to $||x||_{\mathbb{D}} = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$, $||x + y||_{\mathbb{D}} \leq' ||x||_{\mathbb{D}} + ||y||_{\mathbb{D}}$ and $|| - x||_{\mathbb{D}} = ||x||_{\mathbb{D}}$ respectively. Infact,

(i)

$$\begin{aligned} ||x||_{\mathbb{D}} = 0 &\Leftrightarrow d_{\mathbb{D}}(x, 0) = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow x = 0. \end{aligned}$$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} ||x + y||_{\mathbb{D}} &= d_{\mathbb{D}}(x + y, 0) \\ &= d_{\mathbb{D}}(x, -y) \\ &\leq' d_{\mathbb{D}}(x, 0) + d_{\mathbb{D}}(0, -y) \\ &= d_{\mathbb{D}}(x, 0) + d_{\mathbb{D}}(y, -y + y) \\ &= d_{\mathbb{D}}(x, 0) + d_{\mathbb{D}}(y, 0) \\ &= ||x||_{\mathbb{D}} + ||y||_{\mathbb{D}}. \end{aligned}$$

(*iii*)

$$\begin{aligned} ||-x||_{\mathbb{D}} &= d_{\mathbb{D}}(-x,0) \\ &= d_{\mathbb{D}}(0,-x) \\ &= d_{\mathbb{D}}(x,-x+x) \\ &= d_{\mathbb{D}}(x,0) \\ &= ||x||_{\mathbb{D}}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.14. *An F-$\mathbb{BC}$ module is a topological $\mathbb{BC}$-module.*

The next theorem demonstrates the principle of uniform boundedness in the setting of F-$\mathbb{BC}$ modules. The uniform boundedness principle for F-module with real-valued metric was stated in [14]. Here, we prove the result for F-$\mathbb{BC}$ modules with hyperbolic-valued metric.

Theorem 4.15. *For each $\alpha \in \wedge$, let $T_\alpha : X \to Y$ be a continuous $\mathbb{BC}$-linear map form a F-$\mathbb{BC}$ module X to a F-$\mathbb{BC}$ module Y. If for each $x \in X$, the set $\{T_\alpha(x) : \alpha \in \wedge\}$ is $\mathbb{D}$-bounded then $\lim_{x\to 0} T_\alpha(x) = 0$ uniformly for $\alpha \in \wedge$.*

Proof. For given $\epsilon >' 0$ and each positive integer n, consider the set

$$X_n = \{x \in X \ : \ \left|\left|\frac{1}{n}T_\alpha(-x)\right|\right|_{\mathbb{D}} + \left|\left|\frac{1}{n}T_\alpha(-x)\right|\right|_{\mathbb{D}} \leq' \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \ \ \alpha \in \wedge\}.$$

Since T_α is continuous we see that each set X_n is closed. Also, $\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n = X$. Therefore, by Theorem 4.11, some X_{n_0} contains an open ball $B(x_0, \delta)$. That is, if $||x||_{\mathbb{D}} <' \delta$, then

$$\left|\left|\frac{1}{n_0}T_\alpha(x_0+x)\right|\right|_{\mathbb{D}} \leq' \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Since T_α is $\mathbb{BC}$-linear, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\left|\frac{1}{n_0}T_\alpha(x)\right|\right|_{\mathbb{D}} &= \left|\left|\frac{1}{n_0}T_\alpha(x+x_0-x_0)\right|\right|_{\mathbb{D}} \\ &= \left|\left|\frac{1}{n_0}T_\alpha(x+x_0)+T_\alpha(-x_0)\right|\right|_{\mathbb{D}} \\ &\leq' \left|\left|\frac{1}{n_0}T_\alpha(x+x_0)\right|\right|_{\mathbb{D}} + \left|\left|\frac{1}{n_0}T_\alpha(-x_0)\right|\right|_{\mathbb{D}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $||x||_{\mathbb{D}} <' \delta$, then

$$\left|\left|T_\alpha\left(\frac{1}{n_0}x\right)\right|\right|_{\mathbb{D}} = \left|\left|\frac{1}{n_0}T_\alpha(x)\right|\right|_{\mathbb{D}} \leq' \epsilon.$$

Since the mapping $x \to x/n_0$ is a homeomorphism, it follows that $\lim_{x\to 0} T_\alpha(x) = 0$ uniformly for $\alpha \in \wedge$. □

Theorems 4.14 and 4.15 in the setting of F-$\mathbb{D}$ modules can be stated as:

Theorem 4.16. *An F-$\mathbb{D}$ module is a topological $\mathbb{D}$-module.*

Theorem 4.17. *For each $\alpha \in \wedge$, let $T_\alpha : X \to Y$ be a continuous $\mathbb{D}$-linear map form a F-$\mathbb{D}$ module X to a F-$\mathbb{D}$ module Y. If for each $x \in X$, the set $\{T_\alpha(x) : \alpha \in \wedge\}$ is $\mathbb{D}$-bounded then $\lim_{x\to 0} T_\alpha(x) = 0$ uniformly for $\alpha \in \wedge$.*

5 Open Mapping and Closed Graph Theorems

In this section, we discuss the open mapping theorem, the inverse mapping theorem and the closed graph theorem for F- bicomplex and F-hyperbolic modules. The open mapping and the closed graph theorems were stated in [14] for F-module with real-valued metric. The proofs of the following two results are on similar lines as in [9, Theorem 1, pp-55].

Lemma 5.1. *Let $T : X \to Y$ be a continuous $\mathbb{BC}$-linear map from a F-$\mathbb{BC}$ module X onto a F-$\mathbb{BC}$ module Y. Then the image of a neighbourhood of the origin in X under T contains a neighbourhood of the origin in Y.*

Proof. We prove the lemma in two steps.
Step I: Let G be a neighbourhood of 0 in X. Since X is a topological $\mathbb{BC}$-module, any algebraic combination of variables x_1, x_2 is continuous as a map from $X \times X$ into X, so there is a neighbourhood V of 0 in X such that $V - V \subset G$. We see that for each $x \in X$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x \in nV$. We therefore have $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} nV$. Since T is surjective and $\mathbb{BC}$-linear it follows that

$$Y = T(X) = T\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} nV\right) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} nTV = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{nTV}.$$

By Theorem 4.11, one of the sets $\overline{nTV}$ contains a non-empty open set. This implies that $\overline{TV}$ also contains a non-empty open set, say, U. It follows that,

$$\overline{TG} \supseteq \overline{TV - TV} \supseteq \overline{TV} - \overline{TV} \supseteq U - U.$$

Clearly, the set $u - U$ is open, so $U - U = \bigcup_{u \in U} (u - U)$ is an open set containing 0. Denote the set $U - U$ by W. Then W is a neighbourhood of 0 in Y such that $W \subset \overline{TG}$.
Step II: For any $\epsilon >' 0$, denote

$$X_\epsilon = \{x \in X \ : \ ||x||_{\mathbb{D}} <' \epsilon\},$$

and

$$Y_\epsilon = \{y \in Y \ : \ ||y||_{\mathbb{D}} <' \epsilon\}.$$

Let $\epsilon_0 >' 0$ be arbitrary, and let $\{\epsilon_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive hyperbolic numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_i <' \epsilon_0$. By Step I, there is a sequence $\{\eta_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ of positive hyperbolic numbers tending to 0 such that

$$Y_{\eta_i} \subset \overline{TX_{\epsilon_i}}, \qquad i = 0,\ 1\ 2,\ \ldots. \tag{5.1}$$

Let $y \in Y_{\eta_0}$. Then, from (5.1), with $i = 0$, there is an $x_0 \in X_{\epsilon_0}$ such that $||y - Tx_0||_{\mathbb{D}} <' \eta_1$. Thus, $y - Tx_0 \in Y_{\eta_1}$. Again, from (5.1), with $i = 1$, there is an $x_1 \in X_{\epsilon_1}$ such that $||y - Tx_0 - Tx_1||_{\mathbb{D}} <' \eta_2$. In $(n+1)$th step we find an x_n such that

$$||y - \sum_{i=0}^{n} Tx_i||_{\mathbb{D}} <' \eta_{n+1}, \qquad n = 0,\ 1\ 2,\ \ldots. \tag{5.2}$$

Let $z_n = x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + \ \ldots \ + x_n$. For, $n > m$, we have

$$||z_n - z_m||_{\mathbb{D}} \leq' \sum_{i=m+1}^{n} ||x_i||_{\mathbb{D}} < \sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} \epsilon_i <' \epsilon_0.$$

Thus, $\{z_n\}$ is a cauchy sequence in X and it converges to a point, say, $x \in X$. Then,

$$||x||_{\mathbb{D}} = \lim_{n\to\infty} ||z_n||_{\mathbb{D}} \leq' \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \epsilon_i <' 2\epsilon_0.$$

This shows that $x \in X_{2\epsilon_0}$. Also, since T is continuous, $Tz_n \to Tx$ and from (5.2), we see that $Tx = y$. Thus we have shown that $Y_{\eta_0} \subset TX_{2\epsilon_0}$. □

We now prove the open mapping theorem for F-$\mathbb{BC}$ modules.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $T : X \to Y$ be a continuous $\mathbb{BC}$-linear map from a F-$\mathbb{BC}$ module X onto a F-$\mathbb{BC}$ module Y. Then T is an open map.*

Proof. Let G be a non-empty open set in X. To show that TG is open in Y, let $y = Tx \in TG$. Since G is open, there exists a neighbourhood U of 0 in X such that $x + U \subset G$. By Lemma 5.1, there is a neighbourhood V of 0 in Y such that $V \subset TU$. Then $y + V$ is a neighbourhood of y such that

$$y + V = Tx + V \subset Tx + TU = T(x + U) \subset TG.$$

This shows that TG is open in Y and hence T is an open map. □

The next result is the inverse mapping theorem for F-$\mathbb{BC}$ modules.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $T : X \to Y$ be a continuous bijective $\mathbb{BC}$-linear map from a F-$\mathbb{BC}$ module X to a F-$\mathbb{BC}$ module Y Then T has a continuous $\mathbb{BC}$-linear inverse.*

Proof. To show that T^{-1} is $\mathbb{BC}$-linear, let $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ and $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that $T(x_1) = y_1$ and $T(x_2) = y_2$. Then

$$T(x_1 + x_2) = T(x_1) + T(x_2) = y_1 + y_2.$$

Therefore,

$$T^{-1}(y_1 + y_2) = x_1 + x_2 = T^{-1}(y_1) + T^{-1}(y_2).$$

Also, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{BC}$, we have,

$$T(\lambda x_1) = \lambda T(x_1) = \lambda y_1.$$

Thus,

$$T^{-1}(\lambda y_1) = \lambda x_1 = \lambda T^{-1}(y_1).$$

Now to show that T^{-1} is continuous, it is enough to show that the inverse image of an open set under T^{-1} is open. So let $M \subset X$ be an open set. By Theorem 5.2, $(T^{-1})^{-1} = T$ maps open sets onto open sets, hence $(T^{-1})^{-1}(M)$ is open in Y. This completes the proof.

□

Definition 5.4. Let X and Y be two F-$\mathbb{BC}$ modules. Let T be a $\mathbb{BC}$-linear map whose domain $D(T)$ defined as

$$D(T) = \{x \in X : Tx \in Y\}$$

is a $\mathbb{BC}$-submodule in X and whose range lies in Y. Then the graph of T is the set of all points in $X \times Y$ of the form $(x,\ Tx)$ with $x \in D(T)$.

A $\mathbb{BC}$-linear operator T is said to be closed if its graph is closed in the product space $X \times Y$. An equivalent statement is as follows:

A $\mathbb{BC}$-linear operator T is closed if whenever $x_n \in D(T),\ x_n \longrightarrow x,\ Tx_n \longrightarrow y \Rightarrow x \in D(T)$ and $Tx = y$.

Note that the product $X \times Y$ of two F-$\mathbb{BC}$ modules X and Y is also an F-$\mathbb{BC}$ module.

The next result is closed graph theorem in the setting of F-$\mathbb{BC}$ modules.

Theorem 5.5. *Let X and Y be F-$\mathbb{BC}$ modules and $T : X \to Y$ be a closed $\mathbb{BC}$-linear map. Then T is continuous.*

Proof. Clearly the graph G of T is a closed $\mathbb{BC}$-submodule in the product F-$\mathbb{BC}$ module $X \times Y$, hence G is a complete $\mathbb{D}$-metric space. Thus G is an F-$\mathbb{BC}$ module. The map $p_X : (x,\ Tx) \mapsto x$ of G onto X is one-to-one, $\mathbb{BC}$-linear, and continuous. Hence, by Theorem 5.3, its inverse p_X^{-1} is continuous. Thus $T = p_Y p_X^{-1}$ is continuous. □

Theorems 5.2, 5.3 and 5.5 in the setting of F-$\mathbb{D}$ modules have been stated below:

Theorem 5.6. *Let $T : X \to Y$ be a continuous $\mathbb{D}$-linear map from a F-$\mathbb{D}$ module X onto a F-$\mathbb{D}$ module Y. Then T is an open map.*

Theorem 5.7. *Let $T : X \to Y$ be a continuous bijective $\mathbb{D}$-linear map from a F-$\mathbb{D}$ module X to a F-$\mathbb{D}$ module Y Then T has a continuous $\mathbb{D}$-linear inverse.*

Theorem 5.8. *Let X and Y be F-$\mathbb{D}$ modules and $T : X \to Y$ be a closed $\mathbb{D}$-linear map. Then T is continuous.*

6 Hahn Banach Separation Theorem for Topological Hyperbolic Modules

In this section, we present a proof of the Hahn Banach separation theorem for topological hyperbolic modules.

Theorem 6.1. *Let X be a topological $\mathbb{D}$-module and A, B be non-empty $\mathbb{D}$-convex subsets of X such that $e_1A \cap e_1B = e_2A \cap e_2B = \emptyset$. If A is open, then there exist a continuous $\mathbb{D}$-linear functional f on X and $\gamma \in \mathbb{D}$ such that*

$$f(a) <' \gamma \leq' f(b),$$

for each $a \in A$ and $b \in B$.

Proof. Choose $a_0 \in A$ and $b_0 \in B$. Take $G = A - B + x_0$, where $x_0 = b_0 - a_0$. Then G is an open set in X. Clearly, $0 \in G$. Therefore, G is $\mathbb{D}$-absorbing in X. Let $x, y \in G$ and $0 \leq' \lambda \leq' 1$. Then, there exist $a_1, a_2 \in A$ and $b_1, b_2 \in B$ such that $x = a_1 - b_1 + x_0$ and $y = a_2 - b_2 + x_0$. Since A and B are $\mathbb{D}$-convex,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y &= \lambda(a_1 - b_1 + x_0) + (1-\lambda)(a_2 - b_2 + x_0) \\ &= \lambda a_1 + (1-\lambda)a_2 + \lambda b_1 + (1-\lambda)b_2 + x_0 \in G. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, G is a $\mathbb{D}$-convex, $\mathbb{D}$-absorbing set containing 0. Let q_G be the $\mathbb{D}$-Minkowski functional of G. Then, there exist real valued Minkowski functionals

$$q_{e_1G} : e_1X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

and

$$q_{e_2G} : e_2X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

such that $q_G(x) = q_{e_1G}(e_1x)e_1 + q_{e_2G}(e_2x)e_2$, for each $x \in X$. Since $e_1A \cap e_1B = e_2A \cap e_2B = \emptyset$, it follows that $e_1x_0 \notin e_1G$ and $e_2x_0 \notin e_2G$. Thus, $q_{e_1G}(e_1x_0) \geq 1$ and $q_{e_2G}(e_2x_0) \geq 1$, which yields $q_G(x_0) \geq' 1$. Take $Y = \{\lambda x_0 \ : \ \lambda \in \mathbb{D}\}$ and define a $\mathbb{D}$-linear functional $g : Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$ by

$$g(\lambda x_0) = \lambda, \quad \text{for each } \lambda \in \mathbb{D}.$$

We now show that g is dominated by q_G on $\mathbb{D}$-submodule Y of X. For this, write $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ as $\lambda = e_1\lambda_1 + e_2\lambda_2$, where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, we have the following cases:
Case (i): If $\lambda_1 \geq 0$ and $\lambda_2 \geq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} g(\lambda x_0) &= e_1\lambda_1 + e_2\lambda_2 \\ &\leq' e_1\lambda_1 q_{e_1G}(e_1x_0) + e_2\lambda_2 q_{e_2G}(e_2x_0) \\ &= e_1 q_{e_1G}(e_1\lambda_1 x_0) + e_2 q_{e_2G}(e_2\lambda_2 x_0) \\ &= q_G(\lambda x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Case (ii): If $\lambda_1 < 0$ and $\lambda_2 \geq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} g(\lambda x_0) &= e_1\lambda_1 + e_2\lambda_2 \\ &\leq' e_1 0 + e_2\lambda_2 q_{e_2G}(e_2x_0) \\ &\leq' e_1 q_{e_1G}(e_1\lambda_1 x_0) + e_2 q_{e_2G}(e_2\lambda_2 x_0) \\ &= q_G(\lambda x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Case (iii): If $\lambda_1 \geq 0$ and $\lambda_2 < 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} g(\lambda x_0) &= e_1\lambda_1 + e_2\lambda_2 \\ &\leq' e_1\lambda_1 q_{e_1G}(e_1x_0) + e_2 0 \\ &\leq' e_1 q_{e_1G}(e_1\lambda_1 x_0) + e_2 q_{e_2G}(e_2\lambda_2 x_0) \\ &= q_G(\lambda x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $g \leq' q_G$ on Y. By [19, Theorem 5], there exists a $\mathbb{D}$-linear functional f on X such that

$$f_{|Y} = g \ \text{ and } \ f \leq' q_G.$$

Now, $q_G \leq' 1$ on G implies that $f \leq' 1$ on G. From this, it follows that $f \geq' -1$ on $-G$. Therefore, we have $|f|_k \leq' 1$ on $G \cap (-G)$. Since $G \cap (-G)$ is an open set containing 0, by Theorem 3.2, f is continuous on X. Now, let $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Since $a - b + x_0 \in G$ and G is open, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} f(a) - f(b) + 1 &= f(a - b + x_0) \\ &\leq' q_G(a - b + x_0) \\ &<' 1, \end{aligned}$$

and so $f(a) <' f(b)$. From Theorem 3.3, $f(A)$ is open in $\mathbb{D}$. Set $\gamma = \inf_{\mathbb{D}} f(B)$, we have, for each $a \in A$, $b \in B$,

$$f(a) <' \gamma \leq' f(b).$$

□

7 Hahn Banach Separation Theorem for Topological Bicomplex Modules

In this section, a generalized version of Hahn Banach separation theorem for topological bicomplex modules is proved. We begin by establishing a relationship between hyperbolic linear and bicomplex linear functionals.

Let X be a $\mathbb{BC}$-module and h be a $\mathbb{BC}$-functional on X. Then for each $x \in X$,

$$h(x) = g_1(x) + ig_2(x) + jg_3(x) + kg_4(x),$$

where g_1, g_2, g_3 and g_4 are $\mathbb{R}$-functionals on X. We now define a $\mathbb{D}$-functional on X with the help of h as follows: For each $x \in X$, define

$$h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) = g_1(x) + kg_4(x).$$

Now, suppose h is a $\mathbb{BC}$-linear functional on X. Then h can be expressed as a linear combination of $\mathbb{R}$-functionals or $\mathbb{C}(i)$-functionals or $\mathbb{D}$-functionals. Expressing h in all such ways, we are going to find $h_{\mathbb{D}}$ in each case, showing that $h_{\mathbb{D}}$ is a $\mathbb{D}$-linear functional on X and find ways to recover h from $h_{\mathbb{D}}$. For each $x \in X$, we have the following observations:
(i) Write

$$h(x) = g_1(x) + ig_2(x) + jg_3(x) + kg_4(x),$$

where g_1, g_2, g_3 and g_4 are $\mathbb{R}$-functionals on X. Then

$$h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) = g_1(x) + kg_4(x). \tag{7.1}$$

We first show that $h_{\mathbb{D}}$ is a $\mathbb{D}$-linear functional on X. Now, since h is a $\mathbb{BC}$-linear functional on X, we have $h(kx) = kh(x) = k(g_1(x) + ig_2(x) + jg_3(x) + kg_4(x)) = kg_1(x) - jg_2(x) - ig_3(x) + g_4(x)$. Therefore $h_{\mathbb{D}}(kx) = kg_1(x) + g_4(x) = k(g_1(x) + kg_4(x)) = kh_{\mathbb{D}}(x)$, proving that $h_{\mathbb{D}}$ is $\mathbb{D}$-linear. Now, $h(ix) = ih(x) = i(g_1(x) + ig_2(x) + jg_3(x) + kg_4(x)) = ig_1(x) - g_2(x) + kg_3(x) - jg_4(x)$. Thus, $h_{\mathbb{D}}(ix) = -g_2(x) + kg_3(x)$, which yields that

$$-ih_{\mathbb{D}}(ix) = ig_2(x) + jg_3(x). \tag{7.2}$$

Adding (7.1) and (7.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) - ih_{\mathbb{D}}(ix) &= g_1(x) + kg_4(x) + ig_2(x) + jg_3(x) \\ &= g_1(x) + ig_2(x) + jg_3(x) + kg_4(x) \\ &= h(x). \end{aligned}$$

We, therefore, have $h(x) = h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) - ih_{\mathbb{D}}(ix)$.
Also, $h(jx) = jh(x) = j(g_1(x) + ig_2(x) + jg_3(x) + kg_4(x)) = jg_1(x) + kg_2(x) - g_3(x) - ig_4(x)$.
Thus, $h_{\mathbb{D}}(jx) = kg_2(x) - g_3(x)$, which implies that

$$-jh_{\mathbb{D}}(jx) = ig_2(x) + jg_3(x). \tag{7.3}$$

From (7.1) and (7.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) - jh_{\mathbb{D}}(jx) &= g_1(x) + kg_4(x) + ig_2(x) + jg_3(x) \\ &= g_1(x) + ig_2(x) + jg_3(x) + kg_4(x) \\ &= h(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $h(x) = h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) - jh_{\mathbb{D}}(jx)$.

(ii) If we write

$$h(x) = f_1(x) + jf_2(x),$$

where f_1 and f_2 are are $\mathbb{C}(i)$-functionals on X, then

$$h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) = \mathrm{Re}f_1(x) + k\mathrm{Im}f_2(x). \tag{7.4}$$

We have, $h(kx) = kh(x) = kf_1(x) - if_2(x)$. Therefore, $h_{\mathbb{D}}(kx) = k\mathrm{Re}f_1(x) + \mathrm{Im}f_2(x) = k(\mathrm{Re}f_1(x) + k\mathrm{Im}f_2(x)) = kh_{\mathbb{D}}(x)$. This shows that $h_{\mathbb{D}}$ is $\mathbb{D}$-linear. Equation (7.4) can be rewritten as

$$h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) = \mathrm{Re}f_1(x) + j(i\mathrm{Im}f_2(x)). \tag{7.5}$$

Now, $h(ix) = ih(x) = if_1(x) + kf_2(x)$. Therefore, $h_{\mathbb{D}}(ix) = -\mathrm{Im}f_1(x) + k\mathrm{Re}f_2(x)$, and so

$$-ih_{\mathbb{D}}(ix) = i\mathrm{Im}f_1(x) + j\mathrm{Re}f_2(x). \tag{7.6}$$

From (7.5) and (7.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) - ih_{\mathbb{D}}(ix) &= \mathrm{Re}f_1(x) + j(i\mathrm{Im}f_2(x)) + i\mathrm{Im}f_1(x) + j\mathrm{Re}f_2(x) \\ &= (\mathrm{Re}f_1(x) + i\mathrm{Im}f_1(x)) + j(\mathrm{Re}f_2(x) + i\mathrm{Im}f_2(x)) \\ &= f_1(x) + jf_2(x) \\ &= h(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $h(x) = h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) - ih_{\mathbb{D}}(ix)$.
Also, $h(jx) = jh(x) = jf_1(x) - f_2(x)$. Therefore, $h_{\mathbb{D}}(jx) = k\mathrm{Im}f_1(x) - \mathrm{Re}f_2(x)$, and so

$$-jh_{\mathbb{D}}(jx) = i\mathrm{Im}f_1(x) + j\mathrm{Re}f_2(x). \tag{7.7}$$

Adding (7.5) and (7.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) - jh_{\mathbb{D}}(jx) &= \mathrm{Re}f_1(x) + j(i\mathrm{Im}f_2(x)) + i\mathrm{Im}f_1(x) + j\mathrm{Re}f_2(x) \\ &= (\mathrm{Re}f_1(x) + i\mathrm{Im}f_1(x)) + j(\mathrm{Re}f_2(x) + i\mathrm{Im}f_2(x)) \\ &= f_1(x) + jf_2(x) \\ &= h(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $h(x) = h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) - jh_{\mathbb{D}}(jx)$.

(iii) If

$$h(x) = e_1 f_1(x) + e_2 f_2(x),$$

where f_1 and f_2 are are $\mathbb{C}(i)$ on X, then

$$h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) = e_1\mathrm{Re}f_1(x) + e_2\mathrm{Re}f_2(x). \tag{7.8}$$

Since h is $\mathbb{BC}$-linear, $h(kx) = kh(x) = ke_1f_1(x) + ke_2f_2(x) = e_1f_1(x) + e_2f_2(x)$. Therefore, $h_{\mathbb{D}}(kx) = e_1\mathrm{Re}f_1(x) + e_2\mathrm{Re}f_2(x) = k(e_1\mathrm{Re}f_1(x) + e_2\mathrm{Re}f_2(x)) = kh_{\mathbb{D}}(x)$. Thus, $h_{\mathbb{D}}$ is a $\mathbb{D}$-linear functional on X. We have, $h(ix) = ih(x) = ie_1f_1(x) + ie_2f_2(x)$. Therefore, $h_{\mathbb{D}}(ix) = -e_1\mathrm{Im}f_1(x) - e_2\mathrm{Im}f_2(x)$. It then follows that

$$-ih_{\mathbb{D}}(ix) = ie_1\mathrm{Im}f_1(x) + ie_2\mathrm{Im}f_2(x). \tag{7.9}$$

From (7.8) and (7.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) - ih_{\mathbb{D}}(ix) &= e_1\mathrm{Re}f_1(x) + e_2\mathrm{Re}f_2(x) + ie_1\mathrm{Im}f_1(x) + ie_2\mathrm{Im}f_2(x) \\ &= e_1(\mathrm{Re}f_1(x) + i\mathrm{Im}f_1(x)) + e_2(\mathrm{Re}f_2(x) + i\mathrm{Im}f_2(x)) \\ &= e_1f_1(x) + e_2f_2(x) \\ &= h(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $h(x) = h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) - ih_{\mathbb{D}}(ix)$.
Also, $h(jx) = jh(x) = je_1f_1(x) + je_2f_2(x)$. Therefore, $h_{\mathbb{D}}(jx) = ke_1\mathrm{Im}f_1(x) + ke_2\mathrm{Im}f_2(x)$. It then follows that

$$-jh_{\mathbb{D}}(jx) = ie_1\mathrm{Im}f_1(x) + ie_2\mathrm{Im}f_2(x). \tag{7.10}$$

Adding (7.8) and (7.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) - jh_{\mathbb{D}}(jx) &= e_1\mathrm{Re}f_1(x) + e_2\mathrm{Re}f_2(x) + ie_1\mathrm{Im}f_1(x) + ie_2\mathrm{Im}f_2(x) \\ &= e_1(\mathrm{Re}f_1(x) + i\mathrm{Im}f_1(x)) + e_2(\mathrm{Re}f_2(x) + i\mathrm{Im}f_2(x)) \\ &= e_1f_1(x) + e_2f_2(x) \\ &= h(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $h(x) = h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) - jh_{\mathbb{D}}(jx)$.

(iv) If

$$h(x) = f_1(x) + kf_2(x),$$

where f_1 and f_2 are are $\mathbb{C}(i)$-functionals on X, then

$$h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) = \mathrm{Re}f_1(x) + k\mathrm{Re}f_2(x). \tag{7.11}$$

We have $h(kx) = kh(x) = kf_1(x) + f_2(x)$. Therefore $h_{\mathbb{D}}(kx) = k\mathrm{Re}f_1(x) + \mathrm{Re}f_2(x) = k(\mathrm{Re}f_1(x) + k\mathrm{Re}f_2(x)) = kh_{\mathbb{D}}(x)$. This shows that $h_{\mathbb{D}}$ is $\mathbb{D}$-linear. Now, $h(ix) = ih(x) = if_1(x) - jf_2(x)$. Therefore, $h_{\mathbb{D}}(ix) = -\mathrm{Im}f_1(x) - k\mathrm{Im}f_2(x)$, and so

$$-ih_{\mathbb{D}}(ix) = i\mathrm{Im}f_1(x) - j\mathrm{Im}f_2(x) = i\mathrm{Im}f_1(x) + k(i\mathrm{Im}f_2(x)). \tag{7.12}$$

From (7.11) and (7.12), we get

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) - ih_{\mathbb{D}}(ix) &= \mathrm{Re}f_1(x) + k\mathrm{Re}f_2(x) + i\mathrm{Im}f_1(x) + k(i\mathrm{Im}f_2(x)) \\ &= (\mathrm{Re}f_1(x) + i\mathrm{Im}f_1(x)) + k(\mathrm{Re}f_2(x) + i\mathrm{Im}f_2(x)) \\ &= f_1(x) + kf_2(x) \\ &= h(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $h(x) = h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) - ih_{\mathbb{D}}(ix)$.
Also, $h(jx) = jh(x) = jf_1(x) - if_2(x)$. Therefore, $h_{\mathbb{D}}(jx) = k\mathrm{Im}f_1(x) + \mathrm{Im}f_2(x)$, and so

$$-jh_{\mathbb{D}}(jx) = i\mathrm{Im}f_1(x) - j\mathrm{Im}f_2(x) = i\mathrm{Im}f_1(x) + k(i\mathrm{Im}f_2(x)). \tag{7.13}$$

Adding (7.11) and (7.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) - jh_{\mathbb{D}}(jx) &= (\mathrm{Re}f_1(x) + i\mathrm{Im}f_1(x)) + k(\mathrm{Re}f_2(x) + i\mathrm{Im}f_2(x)) \\ &= f_1(x) + kf_2(x) \\ &= h(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $h(x) = h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) - jh_{\mathbb{D}}(jx)$.

(v) If

$$h(x) = f_1(x) + if_2(x),$$

where f_1 and f_2 are are $\mathbb{D}$-functionals on X, then

$$h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) = f_1(x). \tag{7.14}$$

Since h is $\mathbb{BC}$-linear, $h(kx) = kh(x) = kf_1(x) - jf_2(x)$. Therefore, $h_{\mathbb{D}}(kx) = kf_1(x) = kh_{\mathbb{D}}(x)$. Thus, $h_{\mathbb{D}}$ is a $\mathbb{D}$-linear functional on X. We have, $h(ix) = ih(x) = if_1(x) - f_2(x)$. Therefore, $h_{\mathbb{D}}(ix) = -f_2(x)$. It then follows that

$$-ih_{\mathbb{D}}(ix) = if_2(x). \tag{7.15}$$

Adding (7.14) and (7.15), we have

$$h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) - ih_{\mathbb{D}}(ix) = f_1(x) + if_2(x) = h(x).$$

Therefore, $h(x) = h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) - ih_{\mathbb{D}}(ix)$.
Again, $h(jx) = jh(x) = jf_1(x) + kf_2(x)$. Therefore, $h_{\mathbb{D}}(jx) = kf_2(x)$. It then follows that

$$-jh_{\mathbb{D}}(jx) = if_2(x). \tag{7.16}$$

From (7.14) and (7.16), we have

$$h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) - jh_{\mathbb{D}}(jx) = f_1(x) + if_2(x) = h(x).$$

Therefore, $h(x) = h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) - jh_{\mathbb{D}}(jx)$.

(vi) If

$$h(x) = f_1(x) + jf_2(x),$$

where f_1 and f_2 are are $\mathbb{D}$-functionals on X, then also

$$h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) = f_1(x).$$

Then, similarly as in (v), one can see that $h_{\mathbb{D}}$ is a $\mathbb{D}$-linear functional on X. And, $h(x) = h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) - ih_{\mathbb{D}}(ix) = h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) - jh_{\mathbb{D}}(jx)$.

We have shown in six differenet ways that whenever h is a $\mathbb{BC}$-linear functional on a $\mathbb{BC}$-module X, then $h_{\mathbb{D}}$ is a $\mathbb{D}$-linear functional on X and for each $x \in X$,

$$h(x) = h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) - ih_{\mathbb{D}}(ix) = h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) - jh_{\mathbb{D}}(jx).$$

Let us now discuss the converse of the above statement. Let X be a $\mathbb{BC}$-module and f be a $\mathbb{D}$-linear functional on X. We observe the following:

(i) Define a $\mathbb{BC}$-functional on X by

$$h(x) = f(x) - if(ix), \quad \text{for each } x \in X.$$

We show that h is $\mathbb{BC}$-linear. It suffices to prove that $h(ix) = ih(x)$ and $h(jx) = jh(x)$, for each $x \in X$. Let $x \in X$. Then, $h(ix) = f(ix) - if(-x) = f(ix) + if(x) = i(-if(ix) + f(x)) = ih(x)$. And $h(jx) = f(jx) - if(kx) = f(-ikx) + jf(x) = -kf(ix) + jf(x) = j(-if(ix) + f(x)) = jh(x)$.

(ii) Define a $\mathbb{BC}$-functional on X by

$$h(x) = f(x) - jf(jx), \quad \text{for each } x \in X.$$

Then, similarly as above one can see that h is a $\mathbb{BC}$-linear functional on X. The next two theorems now follow.

Theorem 7.1. *Let X be a $\mathbb{BC}$-module. Then the following statements hold:*

(i) Let h be a $\mathbb{BC}$-linear functional on X. Then $h_{\mathbb{D}}$ is a $\mathbb{D}$-linear functional on X and

$$h(x) = h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) - ih_{\mathbb{D}}(ix), \quad \textit{for each } x \in X.$$

(ii) Let f be a $\mathbb{D}$-linear functional on X and $h : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{BC}$ be defined by

$$h(x) = f(x) - if(ix), \quad \textit{for each } x \in X.$$

Then h is a $\mathbb{BC}$-linear functional on X.

Theorem 7.2. *Let X be a $\mathbb{BC}$-module. Then the following statements hold:*

(i) Let h be a $\mathbb{BC}$-linear functional on X. Then $h_{\mathbb{D}}$ is a $\mathbb{D}$-linear functional on X and

$$h(x) = h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) - jh_{\mathbb{D}}(jx), \quad \textit{for each } x \in X.$$

(ii) Let f be a $\mathbb{D}$-linear functional on X and $h : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{BC}$ be defined by

$$h(x) = f(x) - jf(jx), \quad \text{for each } x \in X.$$

Then h is a $\mathbb{BC}$-linear functional on X.

Corollary 7.3. *Let X be a topological $\mathbb{BC}$-module. If a $\mathbb{BC}$-linear functional h on X is continuous then so is $h_{\mathbb{D}}$; and for every continuous $\mathbb{D}$-linear functional f on X, there exists a unique continuous $\mathbb{BC}$-linear functional h on X such that $f = h_{\mathbb{D}}$.*

Theorem 7.4. *Let X be a topological $\mathbb{BC}$-module and A, B be non-empty $\mathbb{BC}$-convex subsets of X such that $e_1A \cap e_1B = e_2A \cap e_2B = \emptyset$. If A is open, then there exist a continuous $\mathbb{BC}$-linear functional h on X and $\gamma \in \mathbb{D}$ such that*

$$h_{\mathbb{D}}(a) <' \gamma \leq' h_{\mathbb{D}}(b),$$

for each $a \in A$ and $b \in B$.

Proof. By Theorem 6.1, there exist a continuous $\mathbb{D}$-linear functional f on X and $\gamma \in \mathbb{D}$ such that

$$f(a) <' \gamma \leq' f(b),$$

for each $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Define a functional $h : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{BC}$ by

$$h(x) = f(x) - if(ix), \quad \text{for each } x \in X.$$

Then h is a continuous $\mathbb{BC}$-linear functional on X such that for each $x \in X$, $h_{\mathbb{D}}(x) = f(x)$. It follows that

$$h_{\mathbb{D}}(a) = f(a) <' \gamma \leq' f(b) = h_{\mathbb{D}}(b),$$

for each $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. □

8 Another Geometric Form of Hyperbolic Hahn Banach Theorem

Hyperbolic hyperplanes have been defined and discussed thoroughly in [19, Section 8]. In this section, using hyperbolic hyperplanes, we present the Hahn Banach theorem for hyperbolic modules in geometric form.

Definition 8.1. A subset L of a $\mathbb{D}$-module X is said to be a $\mathbb{D}$-linear variety if there exists a $\mathbb{D}$-submodule M of X and $x_0 \in X$ such that

$$L = x_0 + M.$$

That is, a $\mathbb{D}$-linear variety is a translate of a $\mathbb{D}$-submodule.

Definition 8.2. [19, Definition 12] A subset L of a $\mathbb{D}$-module X is said to be a $\mathbb{D}$-hyperplane if there exists a maximal $\mathbb{D}$-submodule M of X and $x_0 \in X$ such that

$$L = x_0 + M.$$

That is, a $\mathbb{D}$-hyperplane is a translate of a maximal $\mathbb{D}$-submodule.

Theorem 8.3. *[19, Theorem 13(1 and 2)] Let X be a $\mathbb{D}$-module and $L \subset X$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) L is a $\mathbb{D}$-hyperplane.

(ii) There exist a $\mathbb{D}$-linear functional f that takes atleast one invertible value and $c \in \mathbb{D}$ such that

$$L = \{x \in X \ : \ f(x) = c\}.$$

Theorem 8.4. *[19, Theorem 13(3)] Let X be a $\mathbb{D}$-module and $L \subset X$ be a $\mathbb{D}$-hyperplane. If f and g are $\mathbb{D}$-linear functionals on X such that both take atleast one invertible value and $c, d \in \mathbb{D}$ with*

$$L = \{x \in X \ : \ f(x) = c\} = \{x \in X \ : \ g(x) = d\},$$

then, there exists $\gamma \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $f = \gamma g$ and $c = \gamma d$.

Theorem 8.5. *[19, Theorem 15] Let X be a $\mathbb{D}$-module and $L \subset X$. Then L is a $\mathbb{D}$-hyperplane if and only if e_1L and e_2L are real hyperplanes in e_1X and e_2X respectively such that $L = e_1L \oplus e_2L$.*

Theorem 8.6. *Let X be a $\mathbb{D}$-module and $B \subset X$ be a $\mathbb{D}$-convex, $\mathbb{D}$-absorbing set. If $L \subset X$ is a $\mathbb{D}$-hyperplane such that $e_1B \cap e_1L = e_2B \cap e_2L = \emptyset$, then there exists a $\mathbb{D}$-linear functional f such that $L = \{x \in X \ : \ f(x) = 1\}$ and for each $x \in X$, $-q_B(-x) \leq' f(x) \leq' q_B(x)$.*

Proof. Since L is a $\mathbb{D}$-hyperplane, by Theorem 8.3 there exist a $\mathbb{D}$-linear functional g that takes atleast one invertible value and a hyperbolic number $c = e_1c_1 + e_2c_2$ such that

$$L = \{x \in X \ : \ g(x) = c\}.$$

Since g is $\mathbb{D}$-linear on X, there exist $\mathbb{R}$-linear functionals g_1 and g_2 on e_1X and e_2X respectively such that for each $x \in X$, we have

$$g(x) = e_1g_1(e_1x) + e_2g_2(e_2x).$$

Also, by Theorem 8.5, $L = e_1L \oplus e_2L$, where e_1L and e_2L are real hyperplanes in e_1X and e_2X respectively. It is clear that $e_1L = \{e_1x \in e_1X \ : \ g_1(e_1x) = c_1\}$ and $e_2L = \{e_2x \in e_2X \ : \ g_2(e_2x) = c_2\}$. We claim that c is invertible. Suppose the contrary. Then either $c_1 = 0$ or $c_2 = 0$. Consider the case when $c_1 = 0$. Then, $0 \in e_1L$. Also, $0 \in B$ as B is $\mathbb{D}$-absorbing which yields that $0 \in e_1B$. Therefore $0 \in e_1B \cap e_1L$, which contradicts the hypothesis that $e_1B \cap e_1L = \emptyset$. Thus $c_1 \neq 0$. Similarly, it can be shown that $c_2 \neq 0$. This proves our claim that c is invertible. Take $f = g/c$. Then, by Theorem 8.4, we get $L = \{x \in X \ : \ f(x) = 1\}$. For each $x \in X$, write $f(x) = e_1f_1(e_1x) + e_2f_2(e_2x)$, where g_1 and g_2 are $\mathbb{R}$-linear functionals on e_1X and e_2X respectively. Then, we have $e_1L = \{e_1x \ : \ f_1(e_1x) = 1\}$ and $e_2L = \{e_2x \ : \ f_2(e_2x) = 1\}$. Now, B is $\mathbb{D}$-convex implies that e_1B is $\mathbb{R}$-convex set in $\mathbb{R}$-vector space e_X. We also have $e_1B \cap e_1L = \emptyset$. So, either $e_1B \subset \{e_1x \ : \ f_1(e_1x) < 1\}$ or $e_1B \subset \{e_1x \ : \ f_1(e_1x) > 1\}$. As $f_1(0) = 0$ and $0 \in e_1K$, so

$e_1B \subset \{e_1x \ : \ f_1(e_1x) < 1\}$. In a similar fashion, we obtain $e_2B \subset \{e_2x \ : \ f_2(e_2x) < 1\}$. Now, let $x \in B$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= e_1f_1(e_1x) + e_2f_2(e_2x) \\ &<' e_1 + e_2 \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

That is, $B \subset \{x \in X \ : \ f(x) <' 1\}$. Let $x \in X$. Then there exists $\gamma >' 0$ such that $x \in \gamma B$. That is, $x/\gamma \in B$. So, $f(x/\gamma) <' 1$. Hence $f(x) <' \gamma$. Taking $\mathbb{D}$-infimum over all γ for which $x \in \gamma B$, we obtain $f(x) \leq' q_B(x)$. Consequently for each $x \in X$, we also have $f(-x) \leq' q_B(-x)$. Thus, $-q_B(-x) \leq' -f(-x) = f(x) \leq' q_B(x)$. □

Theorem 8.7. *Let X be a $\mathbb{D}$-module and $B \subset X$ be a $\mathbb{D}$-convex, $\mathbb{D}$-absorbing set. If $L \subset X$ is a $\mathbb{D}$-linear variety such that $e_1B \cap e_1L = e_2B \cap e_2L = \emptyset$, then there exists a $\mathbb{D}$-hyperplane $H = \{x \in X \ : \ f(x) = 1\}$, where f is a $\mathbb{D}$-linear functional on X such that $L \subset H$, for each $x \in X$, $f(x) \leq' q_K(x)$ and $B \subset \{x \in X \ : \ f(x) \leq' 1\}$.*

Proof. Let M be a $\mathbb{D}$-submodule of X and $x_0 \in X$ and such that $L = x_0 + M$. Then neither $e_1x_0 \in e_1M$ nor $e_2x_0 \in e_2M$. Because if $e_1x_0 \in e_1M$, then $e_1L = e_1M$, so $0 \in e_1L$. Also, $0 \in e_1B$, as e_1B is an absorbing set in e_1X, showing that $e_1B \cap e_1L \neq \emptyset$, which is a contradiction. Similarly, we arrive at a contradiction for $e_2x_0 \in e_2M$. Consider the set $N = \text{span}(M \cup \{x_0\})$. Then N is $\mathbb{D}$-submodule of X, $L \subset N$ and M is a maximal $\mathbb{D}$-submodule of N, and so L is a $\mathbb{D}$-hyperplane in N. Also, $B \cap N$ is a $\mathbb{D}$-convex, $\mathbb{D}$-absorbing subset of N. Since N is a $\mathbb{D}$-submodule, for each $x \in N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_{B\cap N}(x) &= \inf_{\mathbb{D}}\{\alpha >' 0 \ : \ x \in \alpha(B \cap N)\} \\ &= \inf_{\mathbb{D}}\{\alpha >' 0 \ : \ x \in \alpha B \cap N\} \\ &= \inf_{\mathbb{D}}\{\alpha >' 0 \ : \ x \in \alpha B\} \\ &= q_B(x). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $(e_1B \cap e_1N) \cap \cap e_1L = (e_2B \cap e_2N) \cap e_2L = \emptyset$. By Thoerem 8, there exists a $\mathbb{D}$-linear functional g on N such that $L = \{x \in X \ : \ g(x) = 1\}$ and for each $x \in N$, $g(x) \leq' q_B(x)$. Finally, by applying [19, Theorem 5], we obtain a $\mathbb{D}$-linear functional f on X such that $f_{|N} = g$ and for each $x \in X$, $f(x) \leq' q_B(x)$. Now, if $x \in B$, then $q_B(x) \leq' 1$. Thus $f(x) \leq' q_B(x) \leq' 1$, showing that $B \subset \{x \in X \ : \ f(x) \leq' 1\}$. Let $H = \{x \in X \ : \ f(x) = 1\}$. Then, H is a $\mathbb{D}$-hyperplane in X and $L \subset H$. This completes the proof. □

References

[1] D. Alpay, M. E. Luna-Elizarraras, M. Shapiro and D. C. Struppa, *Basics of Functional Analysis with Bicomplex scalars, and Bicomplex Schur Analysis*, Springer Briefs in Mathematics, 2014.

[2] S. Banach, *Sur les fonctionelles linéaires II*, Studia Math. **1**, (1929), 223-239.

[3] S. Banach and H. Steinhaus, *Sur le principe de la condensation de singularitès*, Studia Math. **2**, (1927), 50-61.

[4] H. F. Bohnenblust and A. Sobczyk, *Extensions of functionals on complex linear spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **44**, No. 2 (1938), 91-93.

[5] F. Colombo, I. Sabadini and D. C. Struppa, *Bicomplex holomorphic functional calculus*, Math. Nachr. **287**, No. 13 (2013), 1093-1105.

[6] F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, D. C. Struppa, A. Vajiac and M. B. Vajiac, *Singularities of functions of one and several bicomplex variables*, Ark. Mat. **49**, (2011), 277-294.

[7] J. B. Conway, *A Course in Functional Analysis*, 2nd Edition, Springer, Berlin, 1990.

[8] H. De Bie, D. C. Struppa, A. Vajiac and M. B. Vajiac, *The Cauchy-Kowalewski product for bicomplex holomorphic functions*, Math. Nachr. **285**, No. 10 (2012), 1230-1242.

[9] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz *Linear Operators, Part 1: General Theory*, Interscience Publishers, New York-London, 1958.

[10] R. Gervais Lavoie, L. Marchildon and D. Rochon, *Finite-dimensional bicomplex Hilbert spaces*, Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr. **21**, No. 3 (2011), 561-581.

[11] R. Gervais Lavoie, L. Marchildon and D. Rochon, *Infinite-dimensional bicomplex Hilbert spaces*, Ann. Funct. Anal. **1**, No. 2 (2010), 75-91.

[12] H. Hahn, *Über die Darstellung gegebener Funktionen durch singulare Integrāle II*, Denkschriften der K. Akad. Wien. Math. Naturwiss. Kl. **93**, (1916), 657-692.

[13] H. Hahn, *Über lineare Gleichungsysteme in linearen Räume* , J. Reine Angew. Math. **157**, (1927), 214-229.

[14] R. Kumar, R. Kumar and D. Rochon, *The fundamental theorems in the framework of bicomplex topological modules*, (2011), arXiv:1109.3424v1.

[15] R. Kumar and H. Saini, *Topological Bicomplex Modules*, Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr., DOI: 10.1007/s00006-016-0646-1, (2016).

[16] R. Kumar, K. Singh, H. Saini and S. Kumar, *Bicomplex weighted Hardy spaces and bicomplex C^*-algebras*, Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr. **26**, No. 1 (2016), 217-235.

[17] R. Larsen, *Functional Analysis : An Introduction*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1973.

[18] H. Lebesgue, *Sur les intégrales singulières*, Ann. de Toulouse **1**, (1909), 25-117.

[19] M. E. Luna-Elizarraras, C. O. Perez-Regalado and M. Shapiro, *On linear functionals and Hahn-Banach theorems for hyperbolic and bicomplex modules*, Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr. **24**, (2014), 1105-1129.

[20] M. E. Luna-Elizarraras, C. O. Perez-Regalado and M. Shapiro, *On the bicomplex Gleason-Kahane Zelazko Theorem*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory, **10**, No. 2 (2016), 327-352.

[21] M. E. Luna-Elizarraras, M. Shapiro and D. C. Struppa, *On Clifford analysis for holomorphic mappings*, Adv. Geom. **14**, No. 3 (2014), 413-426.

[22] M. E. Luna-Elizarraras, M. Shapiro, D. C. Struppa and A. Vajiac, *Bicomplex numbers and their elementary functions*, Cubo **14**, No. 2 (2012), 61-80.

[23] M. E. Luna-Elizarraras, M. Shapiro, D. C. Struppa and A. Vajiac, *Complex Laplacian and derivatives of bicomplex functions*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **7** (2013), 1675-1711.

[24] G. B. Price, *An Introduction to Multicomplex Spaces and Functions*, 3rd Edition, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1991.

[25] J. D. Riley, *Contributions to the theory of functions of a bicomplex variable*, Tohoku Math J(2) **5**, No.2 (1953), 132-165.

[26] D. Rochon and M. Shapiro, *On algebraic properties of bicomplex and hyperbolic numbers*, Anal. Univ. Oradea, Fasc. Math. **11** (2004), 71-110.

[27] D. Rochon and S. Tremblay, *Bicomplex Quantum Mechanics II: The Hilbert Space*,Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr. **16** No. 2 (2006), 135-157.

[28] W. Rudin, *Functional analysis*, 2nd Edition, McGraw Hill, New York, 1991.

[29] S. Saks and J. D. Tamarkin, *On a theorem of Hahn-Steinhaus*, Ann. of Math. **2** No. 34 (1933), 595-601.

[30] J. Schauder, *Über die Umkehrung linearer, stetiger funktionaloperationen*, Studia Math. **2**, (1930), 1-6.

[31] G. Soukhomlinoff, *Über Fortsetzung von linearen Funktionalen in linearen komplexen Räumen und linearen Quaternionräumen*, Rec. Math. N.S. **3** No. 2 (1938), 353-358.

[32] H. Steinhaus, *Sur les développements orthogonaux*, Bull. Int. Acad. Polon. Sci. A. (1926), 11-39.

Heera Saini, *Department of Mathematics, University of Jammu, Jammu, J&K - 180 006, India.*
E-mail : *heerasainihs@gmail.com*

Romesh Kumar, *Department of Mathematics, University of Jammu, Jammu, J&K - 180 006, India.*
E-mail : *romesh_jammu@yahoo.com*